UPSC MAINS · ANSWER PRACTICE

Essay · Essay

Contentment is natural wealth; luxury is artificial

poverty.
संतोष स्वाभाविक संपत्ति है;

विलासिता कृत्रिम निर्धनता है।

EXAM YEAR

2025

PAPER

Essay

SUBJECT

Essay

MARKS

125

WORD LIMIT

~1200 words

Answer Hint

Contentment as inner sufficiency rather than rejection of ambition; distinction between needs, wants and endless consumption; luxury can create psychological dependence and relative deprivation; examine consumerism, inequality, environment and sustainable development; distinguish healthy prosperity from status-driven excess; balance contentment with aspiration, innovation and economic growth; argue that true wealth lies in freedom from compulsive wants, while material progress becomes meaningful when subordinated to human well-being.

Model Answer

Introduction

Wealth is commonly measured through income, possessions and consumption. Yet material abundance does not necessarily produce inner security or satisfaction. The statement “Contentment is natural wealth; luxury is artificial poverty” challenges the assumption that having more always means being richer. Contentment represents a condition in which legitimate needs are met without becoming enslaved to endless desires, whereas excessive luxury can create new forms of dependence, comparison and dissatisfaction.

The statement, however, should not be interpreted as a rejection of prosperity. The deeper question is whether wealth serves human well-being or whether human beings become servants of wealth and

www.becomeias.com YouTube: becomIAS | Instagram: howtobecomeias

consumption.

Understanding Contentment as Natural Wealth

Contentment does not mean laziness, resignation or rejection of ambition.

It means the ability to recognise sufficiency.

A contented person can aspire to improve life while remaining grateful for what already exists. Such a person does not define self-worth exclusively through possessions or social comparison.

Contentment therefore creates a form of internal wealth:

fewer compulsive desires → greater psychological freedom → greater appreciation → deeper well-being.

It is “natural” because it arises from an internal sense of sufficiency rather than external accumulation.

Why Luxury Can Become Artificial Poverty

Luxury becomes problematic when consumption is driven not by genuine need but by status, comparison or insecurity.

A person may possess more material goods yet remain dissatisfied because someone else possesses something more expensive.

This produces a cycle:

desire → acquisition → temporary satisfaction → comparison → new desire.

The individual appears wealthy externally but remains psychologically dependent on consumption.

In this sense, luxury can create “artificial poverty”: not a lack of material resources, but a perpetual feeling of insufficiency.

Consumerism and the Creation of Wants

Modern markets are highly effective at converting desires into perceived necessities.

Advertising often associates products with identity, success, beauty and social status. Digital platforms intensify comparison by constantly displaying lifestyles that may be financially or socially unrealistic.

The result can be a distinction between:

What we need and **what we have been persuaded to want**.

Contentment provides a psychological boundary between the two.

However, consumption itself is not inherently wrong. Economic activity depends upon people purchasing goods and services. The ethical issue arises when consumption becomes excessive, compulsive or environmentally destructive.

Contentment and Mental Well-Being

Excessive materialism can create anxiety.

When self-worth depends on possessions, maintaining status becomes a permanent burden. Fear of losing wealth may become as powerful as the desire to acquire it.

Contentment reduces this psychological dependence.

A person who values relationships, health, meaningful work and personal growth alongside material comfort possesses a broader conception of prosperity.

Thus, **contentment is not the absence of ambition; it is freedom from the belief that accumulation alone produces fulfilment.**

The Distinction Between Prosperity and Luxury

A crucial distinction must be made between **basic prosperity and excessive luxury**.

Access to nutritious food, good housing, healthcare, education, technology and leisure can significantly improve human capabilities.

Development aims to expand such opportunities.

Calling all material improvement “luxury” would romanticise deprivation.

A poor person cannot be told that lack of healthcare or inadequate housing is desirable because contentment is valuable.

Therefore, the ethical objective should be:

universal basic prosperity + responsible aspiration + restraint in excessive consumption.

Economic Development

Contentment must be understood carefully in the context of developing societies.

Economic growth generates employment, infrastructure, education and technological progress. Aspirations for better living standards can motivate innovation and entrepreneurship.

If contentment were interpreted as complete satisfaction with existing conditions, it could discourage social mobility and reform.

Therefore, the statement should not imply:

“Do not aspire for more.”

Rather, it should suggest:

“Aspire for better without allowing endless consumption to define the meaning of better.”

Environmental Dimension

The ecological implications are particularly significant.

The planet has finite resources, while human wants can become virtually unlimited. Excessive consumption increases pressure on energy, water, minerals, forests and ecosystems.

A culture of contentment can therefore contribute to sustainability by encouraging:

- responsible consumption,
- repair and reuse,
- resource efficiency,
- reduced waste,
- durable products,
- ecological awareness.

Sustainable development requires improving human well-being without treating nature as an unlimited storehouse.

Inequality and Relative Deprivation

Luxury also has a social dimension.

Extreme displays of wealth in societies with severe deprivation can intensify perceptions of injustice. When social status is heavily associated with conspicuous consumption, individuals may incur debt merely to maintain appearances.

This creates artificial scarcity even among those whose basic needs are already met.

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP

Contentment can therefore reduce the pressure of status competition.

At the same time, social justice requires addressing **structural poverty**, not merely encouraging poor people to be content. Contentment is an ethical virtue for all, but it cannot substitute for equitable access to basic capabilities.

Indian Philosophical Perspective

Indian philosophical traditions have long explored the distinction between desire and fulfilment.

The principle of **Aparigraha**, or non-possessiveness, encourages restraint in accumulation. The idea of **Santosha**, or contentment, appears prominently in yogic thought as a state of inner satisfaction.

These ideas do not necessarily reject action or prosperity. Rather, they question attachment.

The central insight is that **possessing things is different from being possessed by them**.

Contentment and Innovation

Some may argue that excessive contentment can weaken innovation.

This concern has merit if contentment becomes complacency.

Scientific progress, entrepreneurship and social reform require dissatisfaction with existing problems.

The solution is to distinguish between:

constructive dissatisfaction with problems and **endless dissatisfaction with possessions**.

A scientist should remain dissatisfied with an unsolved disease. A reformer should remain dissatisfied with injustice. But an individual need not remain dissatisfied simply because someone else owns a more expensive car.

Thus, society should preserve **aspirational energy without cultivating compulsive consumption**.

Luxury and the Illusion of Freedom

Material abundance can paradoxically reduce freedom when maintaining it becomes compulsory.

A person with an extremely expensive lifestyle may need increasingly high income merely to sustain that lifestyle. Financial commitments, social

expectations and status competition can limit freedom.

By contrast, contentment lowers the minimum level of consumption required for psychological satisfaction.

In this sense, contentment can produce **economic as well as psychological resilience**.

The Ethical Meaning of Wealth

True wealth can be understood through a broader framework:

Material wealth: adequate resources and economic security.

Social wealth: relationships and community.

Intellectual wealth: knowledge and curiosity.

Moral wealth: integrity and character.

Ecological wealth: clean air, water and healthy ecosystems.

Spiritual wealth: inner peace and meaning.

A society that maximises only material accumulation may become wealthy in one dimension while impoverished in others.

A Balanced Approach

The ideal is neither ascetic rejection of material progress nor unlimited consumerism.

A balanced approach would encourage:

- dignified living standards,
- productive ambition,
- ethical entrepreneurship,
- sustainable consumption,
- social responsibility,
- gratitude,
- moderation.

Individuals should seek prosperity without making possessions the foundation of identity.

Governments should pursue growth while ensuring social inclusion and environmental sustainability.

Businesses should innovate without manufacturing unnecessary dependence.

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP

Conclusion

“Contentment is natural wealth; luxury is artificial poverty” is ultimately a statement about **freedom from excessive desire**. Contentment gives individuals the ability to appreciate sufficiency, while uncontrolled luxury can create a permanent sense of inadequacy through comparison and consumption.

Yet contentment should never be used to justify poverty, inequality or stagnation. Human beings should aspire to better health, education, dignity, opportunity and prosperity. The challenge is to ensure that **aspiration improves life rather than becoming an endless race for possessions**.

The richest person, therefore, is not necessarily the one who owns the most, but the one who needs the least to feel whole.

True prosperity begins when material wealth becomes a means to human flourishing rather than the measure of human worth.

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP