UPSC MAINS · ANSWER PRACTICE

Essay · Essay

Thinking is like a game, it does not begin unless there is an opposite team.

चिंतन एक तरह का खेल है, यह तब तक प्रारम्भ नहीं होता , जब तक एक

विरोधी पक्ष न हो।

EXAM YEAR

2023

PAPER

Essay

SUBJECT

Essay

MARKS

125

WORD LIMIT

~1200 words

Answer Hint

Thinking becomes meaningful through challenge, disagreement and opposing viewpoints; examine how intellectual conflict stimulates critical thinking, exposes assumptions, improves decision-making and strengthens democracy, science and personal growth, while distinguishing constructive disagreement from destructive conflict.

Model Answer

Introduction

Thinking is not merely the production of ideas; it is the process of questioning, examining and refining them. An opposing viewpoint acts like an intellectual opponent in a game—it challenges assumptions, tests the strength of arguments and compels the mind to move beyond its comfort zone. Thus, meaningful thinking often begins when we encounter something that disagrees with us.

Body

Opposition as the stimulus for thought

An idea that faces no challenge can easily become an unquestioned belief. Opposition forces an individual to examine whether an argument is logically sound, factually supported and ethically defensible. In this sense,

www.becomeias.com YouTube: becomIAS | Instagram: howtobecomeias

disagreement is not necessarily an obstacle to thinking; it is often its stimulus.

A student who debates an issue from an opposing perspective develops deeper understanding than one who merely memorises a predetermined answer. Similarly, a policymaker confronted with criticism is compelled to examine the unintended consequences of a policy.

Opposition and scientific progress

Science advances through questioning, experimentation and falsification. Scientific theories remain open to verification, criticism and revision. The transition from classical physics to Einstein's theories demonstrates how challenging established intellectual frameworks can generate new explanations.

Peer review and scientific debate perform a similar function. They prevent individual assumptions from becoming unquestioned truths and make knowledge progressively more reliable.

Democracy as a contest of ideas

Democracy institutionalises opposition through elections, legislatures, parliamentary debates, independent institutions and a free press. The opposition questions government policies, identifies shortcomings and offers alternatives.

A government that faces constructive criticism is more likely to correct errors and remain accountable. Thus, an effective opposition is not an enemy of governance but a mechanism for improving governance.

Opposing viewpoints and personal growth

At the individual level, disagreement can reduce confirmation bias—the tendency to accept information that supports existing beliefs while ignoring contrary evidence. Engaging with people having different experiences promotes intellectual humility, empathy and adaptability.

For instance, a civil servant designing a welfare programme may initially focus on administrative efficiency. Interaction with beneficiaries and field-level officials may reveal social and cultural barriers that were invisible from the desk. The opposing perspective therefore enriches understanding.

Opposition as a source of social reform

Many social reforms have emerged because individuals challenged accepted practices. Raja Ram Mohan Roy's opposition to sati contributed

to a wider transformation in social consciousness. Reform movements often begin when someone asks whether an accepted practice is actually just.

Similarly, movements for women's rights, civil rights and environmental protection have questioned established social and economic arrangements.

Opposition and innovation

Innovation frequently emerges from challenging conventional wisdom. Entrepreneurs question existing business models, scientists challenge established theories and artists experiment with established forms.

The ability to ask “Can this be done differently?” is itself an expression of creative thinking. Thus, opposition does not always have to come from another person; it can also arise from questioning one's own assumptions.

Constructive disagreement versus destructive conflict

The quotation should not be interpreted as an endorsement of hostility. Personal attacks, misinformation and ideological intolerance can destroy rather than stimulate thought.

Constructive disagreement challenges ideas while respecting people. It is based on evidence, reason and openness to correction. Destructive conflict, by contrast, seeks to defeat or silence the opponent.

The objective of intellectual engagement should therefore be to discover truth and improve collective understanding rather than merely win an argument.

The need for synthesis

A game has opposing teams, but society cannot permanently remain divided into winners and losers. The highest form of thinking emerges when opposing perspectives interact and produce a better synthesis.

For example, public policy often improves when economic efficiency is balanced with social justice, and development is balanced with environmental sustainability. The opposition of ideas can therefore become the foundation of a more comprehensive solution.

Conclusion

Opposition is the intellectual friction that keeps thought alive. It challenges complacency, exposes weaknesses, promotes innovation and strengthens democratic accountability. Yet opposition becomes valuable only when accompanied by reason, respect and openness to revision. Like a

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP

meaningful game, intellectual engagement is not about destroying the opposing team; it is about testing one's ideas against a worthy challenge and emerging with a deeper understanding of truth.

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP